County of Vermilion River Municipal Development Plan and Land Use Bylaw Modernization and Focused Review March 4, 2025

Purpose

This **What We Heard Report** summarizes the public engagement program undertaken by the County of Vermilion River as part of the County's Municipal Development Plan (MDP) and Land Use Bylaw (LUB) Modernization and Focused Review. This report provides an overview of key public engagement milestones and summarizes community feedback identified at the February 2025 Draft MDP and LUB open house, as well as feedback received before and after the open house.

Summary of Public Engagement (to date)

Engagement	Date(s)	Details
Online Survey #1	Oct. 2022 – Jan. 2023	Online survey regarding residents' opinions on broad land use and
		development matters in the County. A total of 160 responses were
		received.
In-Person Workshop	Jan. 26, 2023	In-person workshop for stakeholders and interested members of the public. The purpose was to gather participant feedback on the current MDP and LUB. A total of 25 participants attended the workshop.
Online Survey #2	Feb. 17 – Mar. 17, 2023	Online survey for residents to provide input on the questions asked at the January 2023 in-person workshop. A total of 52 responses were received.

In May 2023, an **Interim What We Heard Report** was prepared and shared with Council and Administration. This report included a summary of the comments received from County residents to this point through the surveys and in-person workshop. MPS included recommendations for policies and regulations to include in the draft MDP and LUB based on the feedback. The Interim What We Heard Report was presented to Council and Administration for their information and to provide MPS with direction for the preparation of the draft MDP and LUB documents.

Following the preparation of the draft MDP and LUB, additional engagement with County residents was undertaken by the County and MPS. The following is a summary of this engagement.

Engagement	Date(s)	Details
County Website	Nov. 22, 2024	The Draft MDP & LUB were posted to the project page in November
	Dec. 9 – 23, 2024	(the link to the draft LUB was opened 571 times; the link to the draft
	Jan. 22 – Feb. 6, 2025	MDP was opened 358 times). A notice about the draft LUB and MDP
		was posted as a pop-up on the County website's main page.
Redistricting	Jan. 15, 2025	Letters were sent out to all landowners affected by the proposed
Letters		redistricting in the draft LUB. The majority of proposed mapping
		amendments affect landowners with properties currently in the CR-S
		– Country Residential – Single Lot and CR-A – Country Residential -
		Agriculture districts. The proposed amendment would redistrict
		these lots to the A – Agricultural district.
		County administration and the project planner received
		approximately 300 phone calls and emails in response to the letters.
		A summary of the comments provided has been included on the
		following pages of this report.
Email	Jan. 17, 2025	Information about the MDP and LUB project open house was
Notifications		emailed to 18 landowners who attended the January 26, 2023, In-
		Person Workshop and requested to be added to an email list to
		receive additional information about the project.
Engagement	Jan. 17 - 20, 2025	Information about the MDP and LUB project open house was mailed
Invitations		out with County gas bills to all landowners.
Social Media	Facebook: Nov. 28, Dec.19, Jan.	Information about the MDP and LUB project open house was posted
Posts	9, Jan. 17, Jan. 27, Feb.5, and	on the County's social media accounts (Facebook & Twitter).

	Feb. 6, 24/25. Twitter: Jan. 16 and Feb. 6, 2025	
Newspaper Advertisements	County Corner: Dec., Jan., & Feb. 2025 editions Meridian Source: Jan. 30, 2025 Vermilion Voice: Jan. 28, 2025	Information about the MDP and LUB project open house was posted in local newspapers (County Corner, Meridian Source, and Vermilion Voice).
Draft MDP and LUB open house	February 6, 2025	An in-person open house was held at the Kitscoty Senior Centre from 3:00 PM to 7:00 PM. Presentation boards highlighting the major changes to the MDP and LUB were set up for a come-and-go-style open house. 79 persons attended the open house, as well as members of Council, Administration, and 3 planners with MPS.
Additional feedback	February 7 – February 24, 2025	Following the open house administration, the project planner received additional phone calls and emails providing comments and requesting clarification regarding proposed MDP and LUB changes.

January 2025 Proposed Redistricting Letter Community Feedback

The following is a summary of feedback provided by County residents affected by the redistricting of land in the draft LUB from January 2025 to February 2025. As of the date of this report, approximately 300 residents provided their feedback on the redistricting of their land. Feedback recorded by County Administration and MPS is identified by topic; where applicable, recommendations are included for Council's consideration.

Topic/Section	What We Heard	MPS Response and Recommendation(s)
Taxation Rates	Will taxes be affected by this	Taxes are not affected by the redistricting.
	redistricting?	No changes recommended.
Reasoning for	Why does the County want to	The County is aiming to reduce red tape in the subdivision process
Redistricting	do this?	and create equity between acreage landowners and owners of large
		agricultural parcels in the Agricultural Area.
		No changes recommended.
Property	What does this change about	The uses of the A - Agricultural district apply to lands being
Changes	my property?	redistricted. Generally, the uses of the A-Agricultural district
		encompass landowners' use of the land.
		No changes recommended.
Keeping of	Will I be able to keep	The keeping of animals is not restricted in the A - Agricultural District.
Animals	livestock/horses on my property	No changes recommended .
	following the redistricting?	
Additional	Will I be able to have a second	The new LUB allows for secondary, garden, garage, and surveillance
Dwellings	dwelling following this	suites in the A - Agricultural district.
	redistricting?	No changes recommended.
Home	Will I be able to have a home	Home Occupations are discretionary uses in the A - Agricultural
Occupations	business in the A - Agricultural	district.
	district?	No changes recommended.
Country	When was my property put into	Some landowners were unaware that they were not in the A -
Residential	the Country Residential	Agricultural district. Information was provided regarding the public
Redistricting	District? There is no residence	engagement process for the current LUB and how information was
	on this property, why is in this	shared with landowners at that time.
	district?	No changes recommended.
Objections	I would not like my property to	MPS explained to landowners that their current district is proposed
	be redistricted, how do I object?	to be deleted in the draft LUB. Once explained, the majority of
		respondents retracted their objection. 4 respondents remained in
		objection.
		No changes recommended.
Subdivision	What are the subdivision	The maximum parcel density is 4, unchanging from the current
Regulations	regulations in the new MDP and	bylaws. The maximum size for a Rural Residential parcel is 15 acres,
	LUB?	reduced from 25 acres in the current bylaws. A majority of

		respondents expressed support for the proposed reduction.
		No changes recommended.
Fire Training	Our property hosts a fire	County Administration confirmed that this use would fall under an
Facility in the A -	training camp for Lakeland	institutional use in the permitting process. Institutional uses are a
Agricultural	College. Will this be allowed in	discretionary use in the A - Agricultural District.
District	the A - Agricultural district?	No changes recommended.
Environmentally	What is the Environmentally	The purpose of the ESA Overlay is to:
Sensitive Area	Sensitive Area (ESA) Overlay in	 To disclose information about known or potential
Overlay	the draft LUB and what does	development constraints.
	that change about my property?	 To share with County residents and development
		proponents information that could affect project times or
		project costs.
		 To clarify what types of supporting information may be
		required when a subdivision or development is proposed in
		an area with a known or potential development constraint.
		In reviewing the overlay area data on included on the draft LUB map
		we noted the following:
		 The ESA data shown on the draft LUB map is generally
		consistent with the ERE Overlay data identified in the
		current, approved LUB.
		The areas included in the overlay appear to have been based
		on out of date provincial data plus an additional buffer area.
		The following changes are recommended to the ESA Overlay:
		 That the ESA Overlay be removed from the draft LUB; or
		2. That the ESA Overlay be revised to remove the buffer area
		and update the data to incorporate the most current
		provincial "Environmentally Significant Areas" data set
		(2014 data layer) as shown on Schedule A . Further, that
		the regulations in the overlay be revised to provide greater
		clarification regarding what types of additional information
		may be required at time of application when a subdivision or
		development is proposed within the ESA Overlay area.
		(Examples: Biophysical Assessment, Flood hazard
		assessment, Slope Stability Assessment, Geotechnical
		Study, etc.) (See Schedule B).

February 2025 Open House Community Feedback

The following is a summary of feedback provided by County residents at the February 6, 2025 Open House. Feedback is identified by topic; where applicable, recommendations are included for Council's consideration.

Topic/Section	What We Heard	Recommendation
LUB: Rural	There are existing small welding	Changes approved under the previous LUB impact the operation of
Industrial	and fabrication businesses	welding and fabrication uses in both districts. Under the current LUB
	within the Agricultural District	a new welding and fabrication business would not comply with the
	and CR-S – Country Residential	special provisions for home occupations. To enable this type of use
	– Single Lot District. (Pt. SE 36-	as a home occupation the following changes would be required as
	50-5-W4 and Pt. SE 6-51-5-W4).	part of this LUB review:
	The business had an approved	
	development permit before	The following changes are recommended:
	operation began. The	1. Revised Section 10.19 – Home Occupations to
	landowners requested	accommodate home occupations involving small-scale
	clarification regarding whether	welding and fabrication businesses in the A - Agricultural
	the business would be allowed	District (See schedule C).:
	under the new LUB.	2. Redistrict SE 6-51-5-W4 to the RM - Rural Industrial District.

		 Insert Light Industry as a discretionary use in the RM - Rural Industrial District.
LUB: Agri-	A landowner lives beside	In reviewing the definition of agri-tourism, we note that it appears
	someone who wants to put	that guest cabins would be potentially allowed as part of the use. If
{	guest cabins adjacent to a lake.	Council would prefer to exclude that from the use, then the
_	They asked if this would be	following change is recommended:
	allowed in the A - Agricultural	1. Revise the definition of "Agri-Tourism" as follows:
	District and indicated that they	2.4.2(21) Means an agriculturally based operation or activity
	prefer it to not be allowed.	that brings visitors to a farm or ranch. Agri-tourism includes,
	•	but is not limited to, buying produce directly from a farm
		stand, navigating a corn maze, picking fruit, or feeding
		animals and may include overnight accommodations as
		secondary uses with appropriate permits.
LUB: ESA Overlay L	Landowners expressed concern	The purpose of the ESA overlay is not to restrict development on all
t	that being in this area will limit	lands within the overlay. Rather, the purpose of the overlay is to
\	what they can do on their land.	disclose to landowners that there may be environmentally significant
	-	features present that trigger the need to provide additional
		information in support of a subdivision or development application.
		The following changes are recommended to the ESA Overlay:
		1. That the ESA Overlay be removed from the draft LUB; or
		2. That the ESA Overlay be revised to remove the buffer area
		and update the data to incorporate the most current
		provincial "Environmentally Significant Areas" data set
		(2014 data layer) as shown on Schedule A . Further, that the
		regulations in the overlay be revised to provide greater
		clarification regarding what types of additional information
		may be required at time of application when a subdivision or
		development is proposed within the ESA Overlay area.
		(Examples: Biophysical Assessment, Flood hazard
		assessment, Slope Stability Assessment, Geotechnical
		Study, etc.) (See Schedule B).
LUB: Dwelling L	Landowners expressed a desire	The draft LUB allows for 1 additional dwelling unit as a garage,
Units on a Parcel f	for additional dwelling units.	garden, surveillance, or secondary suite.
		No changes recommended.
	Landowners expressed support	No changes recommended.
_	for the allowance of 40 acre	
Parcels p	parcels for extensive	
	agriculture, value-added	
-	agriculture or hobby farms.	
	Landowners expressed a desire	No changes recommended.
_	for 80 acre parcels.	
Parcels		
	Landowners expressed support	No changes recommended.
	for the reduction of rural	
	residential parcel size from 25	
	to 15 acres to support	
- 8	agricultural land preservation.	
	Landowners expressed support	No changes recommended.
	for requiring ASPs for more than	
	4 parcels out of a quarter	
	section.	